

Scorecard - Kent, inc UASC

Oct 2012

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	LATEST RESULT			Target for 12/13	PREVIOUS RESULT		OUTTURN RESULT	
				Latest Result and RAG Status	Num	Denom		Previous Reported Result	DoT from previous to latest result	Outturn (March 12) Result	DoT from outturn to latest result

HOW MUCH ARE WE DEALING WITH ?

A1	Number of CAFs completed per 10,000 population under 18	T	R12M	62.9	R	1965	312597	77.2	60.7	↑	68.5	↓
A2	Number of Referrals per 10,000 population under 18	T	R12M	396.7	R	12400	312597	543.7	376.2	↑	538.4	↓
A3	NI 68 - Percentage of Referrals going on to Initial Assessment	T	YTD	81.0%	R	5749	7096	69.5%	85.8%	↑	89.8%	↑
A4	Number of Initial Assessments per 10,000 population under 18	T	R12M	348.7	G	10900	312597	342.9	349.9	↑	483.6	↑
A5	Number of New & Updated Core Assessments per 10,000 population under 18	T	R12M	341.3	R	10668	312597	236.0	342.2	↑	456.0	↑
A6	Number of S47 Investigations per 10,000 population under 18	T	R12M	126.3	R	3947	312597	106.4	132.1	↑	202.7	↑
A7	Percentage of S47 Investigations proceeding to Initial CP Conference	T	YTD	36.5%	R	675	1849	44.5%	33.9%	↑	21.7%	↑
A8	Number of Initial CP Conferences per 10,000 population under 18	T	R12M	37.3	A	1166	312597	42.3	37.1	↑	54.3	↑
A9	Number of CIN per 10,000 population under 18 (includes CP and LAC)	T	SS	289.7	G	9055	312597	280.0	282.3	↓	296.4	↑
A10	Numbers of Children with a CP Plan per 10,000 population under 18	T	SS	27.1	A	847	312597	30.5	25.7	↑	30.6	↓
A11	Children looked after per 10,000 population aged under 18 (Excludes Asylum)	T	SS	51.8	G	1619	312597	47.5	51.8	↓	51.7	↓
A12	Number of Looked After Children with a CP plan.	L	SS	35	A			30	40	↑	36	↑
A13	Numbers of Unallocated Cases for over 28 days (Business)	L	SS	0	G			0	0	→	8	↑

HOW LONG IS IT TAKING US ?

B1	NI 59 - Percentage of IA's that were carried out within 7 working days of referral	H	YTD	87.5%	G	5033	5749	78.8%	88.1%	↓	76.2%	↑
B2	Initial Assessments in progress outside of timescale	L	SS	63	G			100	37	↓	42	↓
B3	(NI 60) - Percentage of Core Assessments that were carried out within timescale	H	YTD	83.1%	A	4785	5761	83.2%	83.8%	↓	68.7%	↑
B4	Core Assessments in progress outside of timescale	L	SS	78	G			100	75	↓	84	↑
B5	NI 67 - Child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales	H	YTD	99.3%	G	551	555	98.0%	98.5%	↑	97.1%	↑
B6	NI 66 - Looked after children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	H	YTD	96.5%	A	1626	1685	98.0%	96.4%	↑	94.9%	↑

HOW WELL ARE WE DOING IT ?

C1	Percentage of Case File Audits judged adequate or better	H	YTD	71.3%	R	402	564	85.0%	72.2%	↓	64.1%	↑
C2	Percentage of open cases with Ethnicity recorded (excludes unborn)	H	SS	99.2%	G	8820	8893	98.0%	98.9%	↑	97.4%	↑
C3	Percentage of Children seen at Initial Assessment (excludes unborn/progress to strat)	H	YTD	90.5%	A	3913	4322	95.0%	90.4%	↑	61.6%	↑
C4	Percentage of Children seen at Core Assessment (excludes unborn)	H	YTD	97.9%	G	5357	5474	95.0%	97.9%	↓	88.0%	↑
C5	Percentage of Children seen at Section 47 enquiry (excludes unborn)	H	YTD	97.4%	G	1690	1736	95.0%	97.1%	↑	91.3%	↑
C6	Percentage of CP Visits held within timescale (Current CP only)	H	SS	84.6%	A	9771	11551	90.0%	83.2%	↑	65.8%	↑
C7	Percentage of Looked After Children aged 5 to 16 with a Personal Education Plan (PEP)	H	SS	89.0%	A	966	1086	95.0%	88.1%	↑	81.8%	↑
C8	Participation at Looked After Children Reviews	H	YTD	97.0%	G	2289	2361	95.0%	97.2%	↓	94.1%	↑
C9	Children subject to a CP Plan not allocated to a Qualified Social Worker	L	SS	0	G			0	0	→	2	↑
C10	Looked After Children not allocated to a Qualified Social Worker	L	SS	1	R			0	7	↑	2	↑

Scorecard - Kent, inc UASC

Oct 2012

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	LATEST RESULT			Target for 12/13	PREVIOUS RESULT		OUTTURN RESULT	
				Latest Result and RAG Status	Num	Denom		Previous Reported Result	DoT from previous to latest result	Outturn (March 12) Result	DoT from outturn to latest result

ARE WE ACHIEVING GOOD OUTCOMES ?

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	Latest Result and RAG Status	Num	Denom	Target for 12/13	Previous Reported Result	DoT from previous to latest result	Outturn (March 12) Result	DoT from outturn to latest result
D1	Percentage of referrals with a previous referral within 12 months	L	YTD	23.1% G	1637	7096	25.8%	23.1%	↑	30.4%	↑
D2	NI 65 - Percentage of children becoming CP for a second or subsequent time	T	YTD	22.5% R	135	601	13.4%	26.0%	↑	16.6%	↓
D13	Percentage of children becoming CP for a second or subsequent time within 12 months		YTD	8.2%	49	601		10.4%			
D3	NI 64 - Child Protection Plans lasting 2 years or more at the point of de-registration	L	YTD	7.3% R	52	708	6.0%	7.7%	↑	8.0%	↑
D4	Percentage of Current CP Plans lasting 18 months or more	L	SS	14.0% A	119	847	10.0%	14.0%	↓	14.2%	↑
D5	NI 62 - LAC Placement Stability: 3 or more placements in the last 12 months	L	SS	9.4% R	172	1826	8.1%	9.8%	↑	11.1%	↑
D6	NI 63 - LAC Placement Stability: Same placement for last 2 years	H	SS	72.6% A	332	457	75.7%	72.2%	↑	70.3%	↑
D7	Percentage of LAC in Foster Care placed within 10 miles from home (Excludes Asylum)	H	SS	61.4% A	740	1205	65.0%	61.7%	↓	60.6%	↑
D8	LAC Dental Checks held within required timescale	H	SS	88.1% A	1263	1434	90.0%	86.0%	↑	92.6%	↓
D9	LAC Health assessments held within required timescale	H	SS	92.2% G	1322	1434	90.0%	89.1%	↑	88.1%	↑
D10	Percentage of LAC placed for adoption within 12 months of agency decision	H	YTD	69.9% R	51	73	85.0%	72.1%	↓	76.6%	↓
D11	Percentage of Children leaving care who were adopted	H	YTD	11.1% R	57	512	13.0%	10.4%	↑	8.3%	↑
D12	Percentage of Children leaving care who were made subject to a SGO	H	YTD	5.5% A	28	512	6.3%	5.9%	↓	4.8%	↑

ARE WE SUPPORTING OUR STAFF ?

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	Latest Result and RAG Status	Num	Denom	Target for 12/13	Previous Reported Result	DoT from previous to latest result	Outturn (March 12) Result	DoT from outturn to latest result
E1	Percentage of caseholding posts unfilled (100% - QSW inc Agency Posts)	L	SS	-2.2% G			10%	-2.9%	↓	-0.8%	↑
E2	Percentage of caseholding posts filled by agency staff (Agency Staff ÷ Establishment)	L	SS	13.8% A	64.8	469.4	10%	15.2%	↑	13.9%	↑
E3	Percentage of caseholding posts filled by QSW (QSW posts exc Agency ÷ Establishment)	H	SS	88.4% A	415.0	469.4	90%	87.7%	↑	87.0%	↑
E4	Average Caseloads of social workers in fieldwork teams	L	SS	17.6 G	479.8	8459	20	18	↑	20.6	↑

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

As at 31/10/2012, Kent has 17 indicators rated as Green, 15 indicators rated as Amber and 13 indicators rated as Red. When comparing performance from last month to this month, 29 indicators have shown an improvement, 2 indicators have remained the same and 14 indicators have shown a reduction. When comparing performance from outturn (March 12) to this month, 37 indicators have shown an improvement, 0 indicators have remained the same and 8 indicators have shown a reduction.